

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspoj.cov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/810,534	03/19/2001	Masahiro Machida	108973	6709
25944 7590 11/26/2008 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850			EXAMINER	
			KE, PENG	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/26/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	09/810,534	MACHIDA ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
Review	SIMON KE	2174	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Requ	est for Review filed 27 October 2008.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is in reason(s):</li> </ol>	mproper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been fi ☐ The request does not include reason ☐ A proposed amendment is included of Other:	
The time period for filing a response continue the mail date of the last Office communication	es to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from n, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal is is required to submit an appeal brief in accor brief will be reset to be one month from mail running from the receipt of the notice of appe	and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant dance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal ng this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period al, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 36 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☑ The panel has determined the statu Claim(s) allowed:     Claim(s) objected to:     Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-7.9-22     Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	· ,
	has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ <b>Reopen Prosecution</b> – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is rec	has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office quired by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>SIMON KE</u> .	(3)/Stephen Hong/SPE 2174.
(2) MUJTABA K. CHAUDRY.	(4)
/Stephen S. Hong/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2178	

Part of Paper No. 20081118

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office